## Goldstone modes, bifurcations and interaction induced instability of dark solitons in segregated Bose-Einstein condensates at finite temperature
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## Introduction

## Outline:

- Emergence of the third Goldstone mode in binary condensates at phase-separation for density profiles where one component is surrounded on both sides by the other component.
At higher interspecies interaction, the third Goldstone mode persists for the above case. This does not happen in
symmetry-broken density profiles where one species is to entirely to the left and the other is entirely to the right.
We use Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory with Popov approximation to examine the mode evolution at $T \neq 0$ and demonstrate the to examine the mode evolution at $T \neq 0$ and demonstrate the The Kohn mode exhibits deviation from the natural frequency at finite temperatures after the phase separation


## Theory

For a quasi-1D system (cigar shaped condensate) the trapping potential $V=(1 / 2) m\left(\omega_{x}^{2} x^{2}+\omega_{y}^{2} y^{2}+\omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right)$, the trapping frequencies should satisfy the condition $\omega_{x}$
Grand-canonical Hamiltonian, in the second quantized form describing the mixture of two interacting BECs is given by
$H=\sum_{k=1,2} \int d z \hat{\Psi}_{k}^{\dagger}(z, t)\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{k}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}+V_{k}(z)-\mu_{k}+\frac{U_{k k}}{2} \hat{\Psi}_{k}^{\dagger}(z, t) \hat{\Psi}_{k}(z, t)\right] \hat{\Psi}_{k}$
$+U_{12} \int d z \hat{\Psi}_{1}^{\dagger}(z, t) \hat{\Psi}_{2}^{\dagger}(z, t) \hat{\Psi}_{1}(z, t) \hat{\Psi}_{2}(z, t)$,
The strength of intra- and inter-species interactions are $U_{k k}=\left(a_{k k} \lambda\right) / m_{k}$ and $U_{12}=\left(a_{12} \lambda\right) /\left(2 m_{12}\right)$, respectively, where $\lambda=\left(\omega_{1} / \omega_{z}\right) \gg 1$ is the anisotropy parameter
Equation of motion of the Bose field operators is

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\hat{\Psi}_{1}}{\hat{\Psi}_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{h}_{1}+U_{11} \hat{\Psi}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_{1} & U_{12} \hat{\Psi}_{2}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_{1} \\
U_{12} \hat{\Psi}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_{2} & \hat{h}_{2}+U_{22} \hat{\Psi}_{2}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{\Psi}_{1}}{\hat{\Psi}_{2}}
$$

where $\hat{h}_{k}=\left(-\hbar^{2} / 2 m_{k}\right) \partial^{2} / \partial z^{2}+V_{k}(z)-\mu_{k}$. We define $\tilde{\Psi}(z, t)=\Phi(z)+\Psi(z, t)$, where $\Phi(z)$ is a $c$-field and represents the condensate, and $\tilde{\Psi}(z, t)$ is the fluctuation part.

$$
\binom{\hat{\Psi}_{1}}{\hat{\Psi}_{2}}=\binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}+\binom{\tilde{\psi}_{1}}{\tilde{\psi}_{2}},
$$

For a TBEC, $\phi_{k} \mathrm{~S}$ are the stationary solutions of the coupled generalized GP equations, with time-independent HFB-Popov approximation, given by
$\hat{h}_{1} \phi_{1}+U_{11}\left[n_{c 1}+2 \tilde{n}_{1}\right] \phi_{1}+U_{12} n_{2} \phi_{1}=0$,
$\hat{h}_{2} \phi_{2}+U_{22}\left[n_{c 2}+2 \tilde{n}_{2}\right] \phi_{2}+U_{12} n_{1} \phi_{2}=0$,
where, $n_{c k}(z) \equiv\left|\phi_{k}(z)\right|^{2}, \tilde{n}_{k}(z) \equiv\left\langle\tilde{\psi}_{k}^{\dagger}(z, t) \tilde{\psi}_{k}(z, t)\right\rangle$, and $n_{k}(z)=n_{c k}(z)+\tilde{n}_{k}(z)$ are the local condensate, non-condensate, and total density, respectively.

## Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov approximation

Using Bogoliubov transformation
$\tilde{\psi}_{k}(z, t)=\sum\left[u_{k j}(z) \hat{\alpha}_{j}(z) e^{-i E_{j} t}-v_{k j}^{*}(z) \hat{\alpha}_{j}^{\dagger}(z) e^{i E_{j} t}\right]$
where, $\hat{\alpha}_{j}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j}^{\dagger}\right)$ are the quasi-particle annihilation (creation) operators. - Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations (BdG) for TBEC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{L}_{2} u_{2 j}-U_{22} \varphi_{2} v_{2 j}+U_{12} \phi_{2}\left(\varphi_{1} u_{1 j}-\phi_{1} v_{1 j}\right)=E_{j} u_{2 j}, \\
& \hat{\underline{L}}_{2} v_{2 j}+U_{22}^{*} \phi_{2}^{*} u_{2 j}-U_{12} \phi_{2}^{*}\left(\phi_{1} v_{1 j}-\phi_{1}^{*} u_{1 j}\right)=E_{j} v_{2 j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1}=\left(\hat{h}_{1}+2 U_{11} n_{1}+U_{12} n_{2}\right), \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{2}=\left(\hat{h}_{2}+2 U_{22} n_{2}+U_{12} n_{1}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{k}=-\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{k}$. To solve, we consider
where $\xi_{i}$ is the $i$ th harmonic oscillator eigenstate and $p_{i j}, q_{i j}, r_{i j}$ and $s_{i j}$ are the coefficients of linear combination.
The number density $\tilde{n}_{k}$ of the non-condensate atoms is

$$
\tilde{n}_{k}=\sum\left\{\left[\left|u_{k j}\right|^{2}+\left.\left|v_{k j}\right|\right|_{N 0}\left(E_{j}\right)+\left.\left|v_{k}\right|\right|^{2}\right\},\right.
$$

where $\left\langle\hat{\alpha}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle=\left(e^{\beta E_{j}}-1\right)^{-1} \equiv N_{0}\left(E_{j}\right)$ is the Bose factor of the quasi-particle state with real and positive energy $E_{j}$.
It should be emphasized that, when $T \rightarrow 0, N_{0}\left(E_{j}\right)$ 's vanishes. The non-condensate density is then reduced to

$$
\tilde{n}_{k}=\sum_{i}\left|v_{k j}\right|^{2} .
$$

Thus, at zero temperature we need to solve the equations self-consistently as the quantum depletion term $\left|v_{k j}\right|^{2}$ in the above equation is non-zero.

## - For the $T=0$ studies we solve the pair of coupled GP equations by

 neglecting the non-condensate density ( $\tilde{n}_{k}=0$ ) usingCrank-Nicholson method adapted for binary condensates.
Using the stationary ground state wave function of the TBEC. we cast the BdG equations as a matrix eigenvalue equation in the basis of the trapping potential.
The matrix is then diagonalized using the LAPACK routine zgeev to find the quasi-particle energies and amplitudes, $E_{j}$, and $u_{k}$ 's and $v_{k}$ 's, respectively. These $u_{k}$ 's and $v_{k}$ 's along with $E_{j}$ are used to get the initial estimate of $\tilde{n}_{k}$

Using this updated value of $\tilde{n}_{k}$, the ground state wave function of TBEC $\phi_{k}$ and chemical potential $\mu_{k}$ are again re-calculated. This procedure is repeated till the solutions reach desired convergence
In general, the convergence is not smooth and we encounter severe oscillations very frequently. To damp the oscillations and accelerate convergence we employ successive over (under) relaxation technique for updating the condensate (non-condensate) densities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{2} u_{2 j}-U_{22} \phi_{2}^{2} v_{2 j}+U_{12} \phi_{2}\left(\phi^{*} u_{1 j}-\phi_{1} v_{1 j}\right)=E_{\mu_{2 j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mode evolution \& density profiles of trapped TBEC



First panel - Miscible to sandwich type density profile with $a_{\mathrm{CSRb}}=\left\{200 a_{0}, 310 a_{0}, 420 a_{0}\right\}$ respectively.
Second panel - Miscible to side-by-side density profile with $a_{\text {sixb }^{87}{ }^{87}}=\left\{100 a_{0}, 290 a_{0}, 400 a_{0}\right\}$ respectively.
Right figure - Low-lying modes of ${ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb}-{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$. At phase separation the structure of the density profiles is side-by-side and one of the modes goes soft.
Trapping frequencies: $\omega_{z(\mathrm{Rb})}=2 \pi \times 3.89 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\omega_{z(\mathrm{Cs})}=2 \pi \times 4.55 \mathrm{~Hz} . \omega_{\perp(\mathrm{Cs})}=2 \pi \times 40.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\omega_{\perp(\mathrm{Rb})}=2 \pi \times 32.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Left figure - Evolution of the low-lying modes in the domain $0 \leqslant a_{\mathrm{CsRb}} \leqslant 400 a_{0}$ for $N_{8^{87} \mathrm{Rb}}=N_{{ }^{133} \mathrm{CS}}=10^{4}$. Third Goldstone mode emerges.
Right figure - Evolution of quasi-particle amplitude corresponding to the Rb Kohn mode as $a_{\text {C SRb }}$ is increased from 0 to $400 a_{0}$.

## Results at $T \neq$

Mode evolution \& density profiles of trapped TBEC


Frequencies $\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ of the low-lying modes at $T / T_{c} \neq 0$ with $N=10^{3}$ Evolution of the modes indicates bifurcations at $T / T_{c} \approx 1$.


Profiles correspond to $N_{\mathrm{Rb}}=840\left(N_{\mathrm{Cs}}=8570\right)$,
$N_{\mathrm{Rb}}=3680\left(N_{\mathrm{Cs}}=8510\right)$, and $N_{\mathrm{Rb}}=15100\left(N_{\mathrm{Cs}}=6470\right)$, at $T=25 \mathrm{nK}$
Results of TBEC with soliton at $T=$


Left figure - Evolution of the low-lying modes in the domain $0 \leqslant a_{\text {CsRb }} \leqslant 420 a_{0}$ for $N_{8_{7}{ }_{\mathrm{Rb}}}=N_{{ }^{133} \mathrm{Cs}}=10^{3}$. Third and fourth Goldstone mode emerges.

## Emergence of fourth Goldstone mode :

Right figure - Evolution of quasi-particle amplitude corresponding to the Rb Kohn mode as $a_{\text {CsBb }}$ is increased from 0 to $420 a_{0}$.
Trapping frequencies: $\omega_{z(\mathrm{Rb})}=2 \pi \times 3.89 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\omega_{z(\mathrm{Cs})}=2 \pi \times 4.55 \mathrm{~Hz} . \omega_{\perp(\mathrm{Cs})}=30 \omega_{z(\mathrm{Cs})} \mathrm{Hz}$ and $\omega_{\perp(\mathrm{Rb})}=30 \omega_{z(\mathrm{Rb})} \mathrm{Hz}$.


Evolution of quasi-particle amplitude corresponding to the fourth excited mode as $a_{\mathrm{CRB}}$ is increased from 0 to $420 a_{0}$.

## Nature of mode collisions

- Green dots - anomalous modes
- Purple dots - complex modes.

Several instances of avoided crossings and mode collision are evident.
Salient features:
Mode collision occurs when the anomalous mode collides with an excited state.
The modes either cross each other or undergo bifurcation giving rise to complex eigenmodes.

(a-b) Quasi-particle amplitude corresponding to the anomalous and fourth excited mode respectively, for $a_{\text {cask }}=261 a_{0}$.
(c-d) Quasi-particle amplitude corresponding to the anomalous and sixth excited mode respectively, for $a_{\mathrm{C} \text { PRb }}=279 a_{0}$. These two modes after colliding gives rise to complex eigenfrequencies, which makes the system oscillatory unstable.

## Different mass ratios

Interplay of mass difference and intra-species scattering length


The evolution of the low-lying modes of the TBEC with soliton for different mass ratios as a function of the inter-species scattering length $a_{12}$ in the domain $0 \leqslant a_{12} \leqslant 420 a_{0}$. The masses of the first and second species in each of the panels correspond to (a) 95 and 87 , (b) 100 and 87 , and (c) 105 and 87 amu, respectively for $N_{i}=10^{3}$. The intra-species scattering lengths of the first and second species are $a_{11}=280 a_{0}$ and $a_{22}=100 a_{0}$, respectively. Shown here is only the real part of $\omega / \omega_{z}$.

## Characteristic Features

In Fig. (a) with $m_{1}=95$, the anomalous mode goes soft at phase separation and becomes the third Goldstone mode of the system. addition, there are no mode collisions involving the anomalous mode.
In Fig. (b) with $m_{1}=100$ two major changes in the mode evolution are evident: there is an additional mode below the Kohn mode; and are evident: there is an additional mode below the Kohn mode; and
anomalous mode collides with the second excited mode twice at anomalous mode collides with the second excited mode twice at
$a_{12} \approx 180 a_{0}$ and $320 a_{0}$. The emergence of a bifurcation is evident in the second mode collision at $a_{12} \approx 320 a_{0}$.
In Fig. (c), the trend in the mode collision for $m_{1}=105$ bear close resemblance to the ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}-{ }^{-133} \mathrm{Cs}$ mixture. In this case, the bifurcation arising from the collision between the anomalous and sixth excited mode is quite evident

- Soliton induced change in the density profiles when the atomic masses of the two species differ widely. Based on a series of computations, we find an enhancement in the mass ratio at which the heavier species, with higher scattering length, occupies the central position at phase separation.


## Conclusions

In TBEC with dark soliton at $z=0$ with strong interspecies interaction, we observe four Goldstone modes.

- TBECs with soliton in one of the components oscillate while interacting even at zero temperature. This is due to the non-zero density of the species without the soliton within the notch of the dark soliton.
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